

South East Asian J. of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences
Vol. 20, No. 3 (2024), pp. 191-204

DOI: 10.56827/SEAJMMS.2024.2003.14

ISSN (Online): 2582-0850

ISSN (Print): 0972-7752

**SEVERAL FINDINGS ON FRACTIONAL (p, q) -DERIVATIVES FOR
THE (p, q) -VARIANT OF PRATHIMA'S MULTIVARIABLE
 I -FUNCTION**

Dinesh Kumar, Nidhi Sahni*, Frédéric Ayant and Milan Srivastava***

Department of Applied Sciences,
College of Agriculture-Jodhpur, Agriculture University Jodhpur,
Jodhpur - 342304, Rajasthan, INDIA

E-mail : dinesh_dino03@yahoo.com

*Department of Mathematics,
Sharda School of Basic Sciences and Research,
Sharda University,
Greater Noida - 201310, Uttar Pradesh, INDIA

E-mail : nidhi.sahni@sharda.ac.in, milan.srivastava@sharda.ac.in

**Collège Jean L'herminier, Allée des Nymphéas,
83500 La Seyne-sur-Mer, FRANCE

E-mail : fredericayant@gmail.com

(Received: May 25, 2023 Accepted: Nov. 28, 2024 Published: Dec. 30, 2024)

Abstract: This article gives the (p, q) -analogue of the modified multivariable Prathima's I -function and explore its properties under the (p, q) -analogue derivative fractional operator. Additionally, we discuss various corollaries considering the (p, q) -analogue counterparts of various multivariable H -functions and I -functions, both in one and two variables.

Keywords and Phrases: Multiple Mellin-Barnes contour integrals, (p, q) -analogue of multivariable I -function, (p, q) -analogue of multivariable H -function, (p, q) -analogue I -function of two variables, (p, q) -analogue H -function of two variables, (p, q) -analogue derivative fractional operator.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C60, 33C99; Secondary: 44A20.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

By including double number of parameters in the (p, q) -identities we have various choices for manipulations. It was observed that several q -results can be generalized directly to (p, q) -results. Moreover once we have the (p, q) -results, the q -results can be obtained more easily by mere substitutions for the parameters instead of any limiting process as required in the usual q -theory [24]. Recently, Ahmad [2] has introduced and studied the (p, q) -analogue of I -function introduced by [32] (see also, [12, 13, 31]). In this paper, we give two results about the (p, q) -analogue of multivariable Prathima's I -function.

Sadjang [30] introduced the shifted factorial as follows:

$$(x \ominus a)_{p,q}^n = (x - a)(px - aq)(p^2x - aq^2) \cdots (xp^{n-1} - aq^{n-1}), \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$(x \oplus a)_{p,q}^n = (x + a)(px + aq)(p^2x + aq^2) \cdots (xp^{n-1} + aq^{n-1}). \quad (1.2)$$

We can extend above formulas in the following manner:

$$(x \ominus a)_{p,q}^n = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (xp^k - aq^k), \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$(x \oplus a)_{p,q}^n = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (xp^k + aq^k). \quad (1.4)$$

For $x \in \mathbb{C}$, the (p, q) -Gamma function [29] is given by

$$\Gamma_{p,q}(x) = \frac{(p \ominus q)_{p,q}^{\infty}}{(p^x \ominus q^x)_{p,q}^{\infty}} (p - q)^x \quad (0 < q < p). \quad (1.5)$$

Taking $p = 1$, then $\Gamma_{p,q}$ reduces to Γ_q .

The (p, q) -Gamma function fulfils the following functional equation:

$$\Gamma_{p,q}(x+1) = [x]_{p,q} \Gamma_{p,q}(x). \quad (1.6)$$

If $n \geq 0$ (n is an integer), we obtain the relation

$$\Gamma_{p,q}(n+1) = [n]_{p,q}!. \quad (1.7)$$

Arik et al. [5] also defined the (p, q) -Beta function, given as

$$B_{p,q}(x, y) = \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(x) \Gamma_{p,q}(y)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(x+y)}. \quad (1.8)$$

The (p, q) -derivative of the function $f(x)$ is defined as follows [3]:

$$D_{p,q}f(x) = \frac{f(px) - f(qx)}{(p-q)x} \text{ for } x \neq 0, \quad (1.9)$$

where $D_{p,q}f(0) = f'(0)$, if $f(x)$ is differentiable at $x = 0$. We have the following result:

Lemma 1.1. [2, eqn.(7.1.8), ch.7, p.104]

$$D_{p,q}^n(x^\mu) = \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(\mu+1)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(\mu-n+1)} x^{\mu-n} \quad (\Re(\mu) + 1 > 0). \quad (1.10)$$

Now, we define the (p, q) -analogue of the modified multivariable I -function defined by Prathima et al. [26].

Remark 1.1. Basic analogue of several special functions has been defined and studied by many authors, for e.g. [15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 31].

2. (p, q) -analogue of modified multivariable I -function

Recently, I -function of several variables has been introduced and studied by Prathima et al. [26], it's an extension of the H -function of several variables defined by [33, 34]. In this paper, we define a new function, the (p, q) -analogue of multivariable I -function. It is defined by (p, q) -Mellin-Barnes multiple contour integrals, given as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{I}(z_1, \dots, z_r; p, q) &= I_{p', q'; p_1, q_1; \dots; p_r, q_r}^{0, n'; m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{array} ; (p, q) \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_{1, p'} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_{1, q'} : \\ (a_j^{(1)}; \gamma_j^{(1)}; A_j^{(1)})_{1, p^{(1)}}, \dots, (a_j^{(r)}; \gamma_j^{(r)}; A_j^{(r)})_{1, p_r} \\ (b_j^{(1)}; \delta_j^{(1)}; B_j^{(1)})_{1, q^{(1)}}, \dots, (b_j^{(r)}; \delta_j^{(r)}; B_j^{(r)})_{1, q_r} \end{array} \right. \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; p, q) \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i(s_i; p, q) z_i^{s_i} ds_1 \cdots ds_r, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$,

$$\psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; p, q) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n'} \Gamma_{pq}^{A_j} (1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} s_j)}{\prod_{j=n'+1}^{p'} \Gamma_{pq}^{A_j} (a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} s_j) \prod_{j=1}^{q'} \Gamma_{pq}^{B_j} (1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} s_j)}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\phi(s_i; p, q)$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m_i} \Gamma_{pq}^{B_j^{(i)}} \left(b_j^{(i)} - \delta_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \prod_{j=1}^{n_i} \Gamma_{pq}^{A_j^{(i)}} \left(1 - a_j^{(i)} + \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i \right)}{\prod_{j=1+m_i}^{q_i} \Gamma_{pq}^{B_j^{(i)}} \left(1 - b_j^{(i)} + \delta_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \prod_{j=n_i+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_{pq}^{A_j^{(i)}} \left(a_j^{(i)} - \gamma_j^{(i)} s_i \right) \Gamma_{pq}(s_i) \Gamma_{pq}(1-s_i) \sin \pi s_i} \quad (2.3)$$

An empty product is interpreted as unity; In the following. We replace n, p and q by n', p' and q' because the first three numbers are already used, see the Lemma 1.1.

The following numbers $A_j (j = 1, \dots, p')$, $B_j (j = 1, \dots, q')$, $A_j^{(i)} (i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, p_i)$, $B_j^{(i)} (i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, q_i)$, $\alpha_j^{(i)} (i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, p')$, $\beta_j^{(i)} (i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, q')$, $\gamma_j^{(i)} (i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, p_i)$ and $\delta_j^{(i)} (i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, q_i)$ are positive real numbers, the quantities $a_j (j = 1, \dots, p')$, $b_j (j = 1, \dots, q')$, $a_j^{(i)} (i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, p_i)$ and $b_j^{(i)} (i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, q_i)$ are complex numbers. The numbers m_i, n_i, p_i, q_i ($i = 1, \dots, r$) are non-negative integers verifying the inequalities $0 \leq q_i$, $0 \leq m_i \leq q_i$, $0 \leq n_i \leq p_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and $0 \leq n_i \leq p_i$.

Here (i) denotes the numbers of dashes. The contour L_k is in the $s_k (k = 1, \dots, r)$ - plane and run from $s_k + i\sigma_k$ where σ_k if is a real number with loop, if necessary to ensure that the poles of $\Gamma_{pq}^{A_j} \left(1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} s_j \right)$, ($j = 1, \dots, n'$), $\Gamma_{pq}^{A_j^{(k)}} (1 - a_j^{(k)} + \gamma_j^{(k)} s_k)$, ($j = 1, \dots, n^{(k)}$), ($k = 1, \dots, r$) to the left of the contour L_k and the poles of $\Gamma_{pq}^{B_j^{(k)}} (b_j^{(k)} - \delta_j^{(k)} s_k)$, ($j = 1, \dots, m^{(k)}$), ($k = 1, \dots, r$) lie to the right of the contour L_k . The various parameters are restricted so that these poles of the integrand are assumed to be simple. The point $z_i = 0 (i = 1, \dots, r)$ is tacitly excluded. For large values of $|z_i|$, $Re(s_i \log(z_i) - \log \sin \pi s_i) < 0$, $i = 1, \dots, r$.

We shall note

$$X = m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r; \quad V = p_1, q_1; \dots; p_r, q_r; \quad (2.4)$$

$$A = \left(a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j \right)_{1,p'}; \quad B = \left(b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j \right)_{1,q'}; \quad (2.5)$$

$$C = \left(c_j^{(1)}; \gamma_j^{(1)}; C_j^{(1)} \right)_{1,p_1}; \dots; \left(c_j^{(r)}; \gamma_j^{(r)}; C_j^{(r)} \right)_{1,p_r}; \quad (2.6)$$

$$D = \left(d_j^{(1)}; \delta_j^{(1)}; D_j^{(1)} \right)_{1,q_1}; \dots; \left(d_j^{(r)}; \delta_j^{(r)}; D_j^{(r)} \right)_{1,q_r}. \quad (2.7)$$

3. Main formulae

In this section, we will establish one fractional (p, q) -derivative formula about the (p, q) -analogue of multivariable I -function defined by Prathima et al. [26] and studied by [13, 31].

Theorem 1. *Let $\Re(\mu) > 0, z_i \neq 0, \lambda_i > 0 (i = 1, \dots, r)$ and $0 < q < p$, then the following result holds true*

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{p,q}^\mu \left\{ I \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 p x^{\lambda_1} \\ \vdots \\ z_r p x^{\lambda_r} \end{matrix} ; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} A : C \\ B : D \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right\} \\ &= x^{-\mu} I_{p'+1, q'+1:V}^{0, n'+1: X} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 p x^{\lambda_1} \\ \vdots \\ z_r p x^{\lambda_r} \end{matrix} ; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} (0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r; 1), A : C \\ B, (\mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r; 1) : D \end{matrix} \right. \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where $\Re(t_i \log(z_i) - \log \sin \pi t_i) < 0 (i = 1, \dots, r)$.

Proof. To prove (3.1), we consider the left-hand side of equation (3.1) (say L.H.S.) and make use of the formula (2.1), we obtain

L.H.S.

$$= D_{p,q}^\mu \left\{ \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \pi^r \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; p, q) \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i(s_i; p, q) (z_i p x^{\lambda_i})^{s_i} ds_1 \cdots ds_r \right\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Now, we interchange the order of \int and $D_{p,q}^\mu$ because the validities conditions are satisfied. This gives

L.H.S.

$$= \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \pi^r \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; p, q) \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i(s_i; p, q) D_{p,q}^\mu \left(\prod_{i=1}^r p^{s_i} z_i^{s_i} x^{\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i s_i} \right) ds_1 \cdots ds_r. \quad (3.3)$$

We can also write

L.H.S. =

$$\int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \pi^r \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; p, q) \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i(s_i; p, q) (p z_i)^{s_i} D_{p,q}^\mu \left(x^{\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i s_i} \right) ds_1 \cdots ds_r. \quad (3.4)$$

We use the Lemma 1.1 and after a few algebraic transformations, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{L.H.S.} &= \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \pi^r \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; p, q) \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i(s_i; p, q) (pz_i)^{s_i} \\ &\times \frac{\Gamma_{p,q}(1 - 0 + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i s_i)}{\Gamma_{p,q}(1 - \mu + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i s_i)} x^{-\mu + \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i s_i} ds_1 \cdots ds_r. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Interpreting the above multiple Mellin-Barnes contour integrals in terms of the basic (p, q) -multivariable I -function, we get the desired result (3.1).

4. Special cases

In this section, we give several particular cases.

First, we suppose $p = 1$, then the (p, q) -basic multivariable I -function is replaced by the q -basic multivariable Prathima's I -function and we have the following relation:

Definition 4.1. If $\Re(\mu) > 0$, $z_i \neq 0$, $\lambda_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$), $\Re(s_i \log(z_i) - \log \sin \pi s_i) < 0$, ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and $p = 1$. Then q -basic multivariable Prathima's I -function defined by the multiple q -contour integrals, given by

$$\begin{aligned} I(z_1, \dots, z_r; q) &= I_{p', q' : p_1, q_1; \dots; p_r, q_r}^{0, n' : m_1, n_1; \dots; m_r, n_r} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_r \end{array} ; q \middle| \begin{array}{c} (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j)_{1, p'} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j)_{1, q'} : \\ (a_j^{(1)}; \alpha_j^{(1)}; A_j^{(1)})_{1, p^{(1)}}, \dots, (a_j^{(r)}; \alpha_j^{(r)}; A_j^{(r)})_{1, p^{(r)}} \\ (b_j^{(1)}; \beta_j^{(1)}; B_j^{(1)})_{1, q^{(1)}}, \dots, (b_j^{(r)}; \beta_j^{(r)}; B_j^{(r)})_{1, q^{(r)}} \end{array} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^r} \int_{L_1} \cdots \int_{L_r} \psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; q) \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i(s_i; q) z_i^{s_i} ds_1 \cdots ds_r, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\psi(s_1, \dots, s_r; q) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n'} \Gamma_q^{A_j} (1 - a_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} t_j)}{\prod_{j=n'+1}^{p'} \Gamma_q^{A_j} (a_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_j^{(i)} t_j) \prod_{j=1}^{q'} \Gamma_q^{B_j} (1 - b_j + \sum_{i=1}^r \beta_j^{(i)} t_j)}, \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$\phi(s_i; q) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{m^{(i)}} \Gamma_q^{B_j^{(i)}} (b_j^{(i)} - \beta_j^{(i)} s) \prod_{j=1}^{n^{(i)}} \Gamma_q^{A_j^{(i)}} (1 - a_j^{(i)} + \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i)}{\prod_{j=1+m^{(i)}}^{q^{(i)}} \Gamma_q^{B_j^{(i)}} (1 - b_j^{(i)} + \beta_j^{(i)} s_i) \prod_{j=n^{(i)}+1}^{p^{(i)}} \Gamma_q^{A_j^{(i)}} (a_j^{(i)} - \alpha_j^{(i)} s_i) \Gamma_q(s_i) \Gamma_q(1 - s_i) \sin \pi s_i} \quad (4.3)$$

Corollary 1. By using Definition 4.1 and the notations (2.4)-(2.7) with $p = 1$, then we obtain the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} & D_q^\mu \left\{ I \left(\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 x^{\lambda_1} & A : C \\ \vdots & \\ z_r x^{\lambda_r} & B : D \end{array} ; q \right) \right\} \\ &= x^{-\mu} I_{p'+1, q'+1; V}^{0, n'+1; X} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 x^{\lambda_1} & (0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r; 1), A : C \\ \vdots & \\ z_r x^{\lambda_r} & B, (\mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r; 1) : D \end{array} ; q \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Definition 4.2. If $A_j = B_j = C_j^{(i)} = D_j^{(i)} = 1$, then the (p, q) -basic multivariable I -function reduces to (p, q) -basic multivariable H -function defined by (for details of multivariable H -function, the readers can refer the work [8, 9, 20, 33, 34]) Let

$$A_0 = (a_j; \alpha_j^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_j^{(r)})_{1, p'}; \quad B_0 = (b_j; \beta_j^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_j^{(r)})_{1, q'}; \quad (4.5)$$

$$C_0 = (c_j^{(1)}, \gamma_j^{(1)})_{1, p_1}; \dots; (c_j^{(r)}, \gamma_j^{(r)})_{1, p_r}; \quad D_0 = (d_j^{(1)}, \delta_j^{(1)})_{1, q_1}; \dots; (d_j^{(r)}, \delta_j^{(r)})_{1, q_r}. \quad (4.6)$$

Then we have the following result:

Corollary 2.

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{p, q}^\mu \left\{ H \left(\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 p x^{\lambda_1} & A_0 : C_0 \\ \vdots & \\ z_r p x^{\lambda_r} & B_0 : D_0 \end{array} ; (p, q) \right) \right\} \\ &= x^{-\mu} H_{p'+1, q'+1; V}^{0, n'+1; X} \left(\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 p x^{\lambda_1} & (0; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r), A_0 : C_0 \\ \vdots & \\ z_r p x^{\lambda_r} & B_0, (\mu; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) : D_0 \end{array} ; (p, q) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

under the same conditions stated in the Theorem 1 and the Definition 4.2.

Definition 4.3. Taking $r = 2$, the (p, q) -basic multivariable I -function defined by (2.1) is replaced by the (p, q) -basic I -function of two variables defined by Kumari et al. [21]. This new function is defined in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} I(z_1, z_2; p, q) &= I_{p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1, m_2, n_2, m_3, n_3} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} ; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} (a_i; \alpha_i, A_i; \mathbf{A}_i)_{1, p_1} : (e_i; E_i; \mathbf{E}_i)_{1, p_2} ; (g_i; G_i; \mathbf{G}_i)_{1, p_3} \\ (b_i; \beta_i, B_i; \mathbf{B}_i)_{1, q_1} : (f_i; F_i; \mathbf{F}_i)_{1, q_2} (h_i; H_i; \mathbf{H}_i)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\omega)^2} \int_{L_1} \int_{L_2} \psi(s, t; p, q) \phi_1(s; p, q) \phi_2(t; p, q) z_1^s z_2^t ds dt, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where

$$\psi(s, t; p, q) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n_1} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{A}_i} (1 - a_i + \alpha_i s + A_i t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{q_1} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{B}_i} (1 - b_i + \beta_i s + B_i t) \prod_{i=n_1+1}^{p_1} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{A}_i} (a_i - \alpha_i s - A_i t)}, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\phi_1(s; p, q) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m_2} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{F}_i} (f_i - F_i s) \prod_{i=1}^{n_2} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{E}_i} (1 - e_i + E_i s)}{\prod_{i=m_2+1}^{q_2} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{F}_i} (1 - f_i + F_i s) \prod_{i=n_2+1}^{p_2} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{E}_i} (e_i - E_i s) \Gamma_{pq}(s) \Gamma_{pq}(1 - s) \sin ps}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\phi_2(t; p, q) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{m_3} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{H}_i} (h_i - H_i t) \prod_{i=1}^{n_3} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{G}_i} (1 - g_i + G_i t)}{\prod_{i=m_3+1}^{q_3} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{H}_i} (1 - h_i + H_i t) \prod_{i=n_3+1}^{p_3} \Gamma_{pq}^{\mathbf{G}_i} (g_i - G_i t) \Gamma_{pq}(t) \Gamma_{pq}(1 - t) \sin \pi t}. \quad (4.11)$$

We use the following notations:

$$A_1 = (a_i; \alpha_i, A_i; \mathbf{A}_i)_{1, p_1}; \quad A_2 = (e_i; E_i; \mathbf{E}_i)_{1, p_2}; (g_i; G_i; \mathbf{G}_i)_{1, p_3}; \quad (4.12)$$

$$B_1 = (b_i; \beta_i, B_i; \mathbf{B}_i)_{1, q_1}; \quad B_2 = (f_i; F_i; \mathbf{F}_i)_{1, q_2}; (h_i; H_i; \mathbf{H}_i)_{1, q_3}. \quad (4.13)$$

Then, we have the result as follows:

Corollary 3.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{p, q}^\mu &\left\{ I_{p_1, q_1, p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1, m_2, n_2, m_3, n_3} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 p x^{\lambda_1} \\ z_2 p x^{\lambda_2} \end{matrix} ; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} A_1; A_2 \\ B_1; B_2 \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right\} \\ &= x^{-\mu} I_{p_1+1, q_1+1, p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1+1, m_2, n_2, m_3, n_3} \left(\begin{matrix} z_1 p x^{\lambda_1} \\ z_2 p x^{\lambda_2} \end{matrix} ; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} (0; \lambda_1, \lambda_2; 1), A_1 : A_2 \\ B_1, (\mu; \lambda_1, \lambda_2; 1); B_2 \end{matrix} \right. \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where $\Re(\mu) > 0$, $\lambda_i > 0$, $\Re(t_i \log(z_i) - \log \sin \pi t_i) < 0$ ($i = 1, 2$).

Next, if we set $\mathbf{A}_i = \mathbf{B}_i = \mathbf{E}_i = \mathbf{F}_i = \mathbf{G}_i = \mathbf{H}_i = 1$ in (4.8) then (p, q) -basic I -function of two variables reduces to (p, q) -analogue of H -function of two variables

[27].

Let

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = (a_i; \alpha_i, A_i)_{1,p_1}; \quad \mathbf{A}_2 = (e_i; E_i)_{1,p_2}; (g_i; G_i)_{1,p_3}; \quad (4.15)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_1 = (b_i; \beta_i, B_i)_{1,q_1}; \quad \mathbf{B}_2 = (f_i; F_i)_{1,q_2}; (h_i; H_i)_{1,q_3}. \quad (4.16)$$

We obtain the following formula:

Corollary 4.

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{p,q}^\mu \left\{ H_{p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,n_1,m_2,n_2,m_3,n_3} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 p x_1^{\lambda_1} \\ z_2 p x_2^{\lambda_2} \end{array}; (p, q) \middle| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_1 : \mathbf{A}_2 \\ \mathbf{B}_1 : \mathbf{B}_2 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= x^{-\mu} H_{p_1+1,q_1+1,p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,n_1+1,m_2,n_2,m_3,n_3} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 p x_1^{\lambda_1} \\ z_2 p x_2^{\lambda_2} \end{array}; (p, q) \middle| \begin{array}{c} (0; \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \mathbf{A}_1 : \mathbf{A}_2 \\ \mathbf{B}_1, (\mu; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) : \mathbf{B}_2 \end{array} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

valid under the conditions stated in Corollary 3.

If we take $(\alpha_i)_{1,p_1} = (A_i)_{1,p_1} = (E_i)_{1,p_2} = (G_i)_{1,p_3} = (\beta_i)_{1,q_1} = (B_i)_{1,q_1} = (F_i)_{1,q_2} = (H)_{1,q_3} = 1$, then the (p, q) -analogue of H -function of two variables reduces to (p, q) -analogue of Meijer's G -function of two variables [1].

Let

$$\mathbf{A}_0 = (a_i)_{1,p_1}; \quad \mathbf{A}'_0 = (e_i)_{1,p_2}; (g)_{1,p_3}; \quad \mathbf{B}_0 = (b_i)_{1,q_1}; \quad \mathbf{B}'_0 = (f_i)_{1,q_2}; (h_i)_{1,q_3}, \quad (4.18)$$

then we obtain the following relation:

Corollary 5.

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{p,q}^\mu \left\{ G_{p_1,q_1,p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,n_1,m_2,n_2,m_3,n_3} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 p x_1^{\lambda_1} \\ z_2 p x_2^{\lambda_2} \end{array}; (p, q) \middle| \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{A}_0; \mathbf{A}'_0 \\ \mathbf{B}_0; \mathbf{B}'_0 \end{array} \right) \right\} \\ &= x^{-\mu} G_{p_1+1,q_1+1,p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,n_1+1,m_2,n_2,m_3,n_3} \left(\begin{array}{c} z_1 p x_1^{\lambda_1} \\ z_2 p x_2^{\lambda_2} \end{array}; (p, q) \middle| \begin{array}{c} (0; \lambda_1, \lambda_2), \mathbf{A}_0 : \mathbf{A}'_0 \\ \mathbf{B}_0, (\mu; \lambda_1, \lambda_2) : \mathbf{B}'_0 \end{array} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

If $r = 1$, then (p, q) -basic multivariable I -function reduces to (p, q) -basic I -function of one variable defined by Rathie [28].

We have the following result:

Corollary 6.

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{p,q}^{\mu} \left\{ I_{p',q'}^{m',n'} \left(zpx^{\rho}; (p,q) \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_j, \alpha_j : A_j)_{1,p'} \\ (b_j, \beta_j : B_j)_{1,q'} \end{array} \right. \right) \right\} \\
& = x^{-\mu} I_{p'+1,q'+1}^{m',n'+1} \left(zpx^{\rho}; (p,q) \left| \begin{array}{c} (0; \rho; 1), (a_j, \alpha_j : A_j)_{1,p'} \\ (b_j, \beta_j : B_j)_{1,q'}, (\mu; \rho; 1) \end{array} \right. \right), \quad (4.20)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Re(s \log(z) - \log(\pi s)) < 0$, the conditions of Theorem 1 are verified and $r = 1$.

If we take $r = 1$ and $A_j = B_j = 1$, then the (p, q) - basic analogue of I -function of one variable reduces to (p, q) - basic analogue of H -function of one variable [10], we have

Corollary 7.

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{p,q}^{\mu} \left\{ H_{p',q'}^{m',n'} \left(zpx^{\rho}; (p,q) \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1,p'} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1,q'} \end{array} \right. \right) \right\} \\
& = x^{-\mu} H_{p'+1,q'+1}^{m'+1,n'+1} \left(zpx^{\rho}; (p,q) \left| \begin{array}{c} (0; \rho), (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1,p'} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1,q'}, (\mu; \rho) \end{array} \right. \right), \quad (4.21)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\rho > 0$ and $\Re(s \log(z) - \log \sin \pi s) < 0$.

Now, we suppose $(\alpha_j)_{1,p'} = (\beta_j)_{1,q'} = 1$, then the (p, q) - basic analogue of H -function reduces to (p, q) - basic analogue of Meijer's G -function [23]. Then we have the following result:

Corollary 8.

$$\begin{aligned}
& D_{p,q}^{\mu} \left\{ G_{p',q'}^{m',n'} \left(zpx^{\rho}; (p,q) \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_j)_{1,p'} \\ (b_j)_{1,q'} \end{array} \right. \right) \right\} \\
& = x^{-\mu} G_{p'+1,q'+1}^{m',n'+1} \left(zpx^{\rho}; (p,q) \left| \begin{array}{c} (0; \rho), (a_j)_{1,p'} \\ (b_j)_{1,q'}, (\mu; \rho) \end{array} \right. \right), \quad (4.22)
\end{aligned}$$

under the conditions verified by the Corollary 7 and $(\alpha_j)_{1,p'} = (\beta_j)_{1,q'} = 1$.

Definition 4.4. We have a relation concerning the (p, q) - basic analogue of Meijer's G -function and (p, q) - basic analogue of Mac-Robert's E -function [22], given by

$$G_{q'+1,p'}^{p'+1,1} \left(z; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} 1, (a_j)_{1,p'} \\ (b_j)_{1,q'} \end{matrix} \right. \right) = E \left(z; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} (b_j)_{1,q'} \\ (a_j)_{1,p'} \end{matrix} \right. \right). \quad (4.23)$$

Consequently, we obtain the following formula about the Mac-Robert's E - function:

Corollary 9. Let $\Re(\mu) > 0$ and $z \neq 0$, then the following result holds true

$$D_{p,q}^\mu \left\{ E \left(zpx^\rho; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j)_{1,p'} \\ (b_j)_{1,q'} \end{matrix} \right. \right) \right\} = x^{-\mu} E \left(zpx^\rho; (p, q) \left| \begin{matrix} (0; \rho), (a_j)_{1,p'} \\ (\mu; \rho) \end{matrix} \right. \right), \quad (4.24)$$

where $\Re(t \log(z) - \log \sin \pi t) < 0$.

Remark 4.1. We have the identical formulas with the (p, q) - basic analogue of multivariable Gimel-function, see [6], the (p, q) -basic analogue of multivariable I -function [12, 25, 31], the (p, q) - basic analogue of the modified multivariable H -function [8, 9, 17, 20], the (p, q) - basic analogue of the multivariable Aleph-function [15], the (p, q) - basic analogue of the multivariable A -function [11, 14]. By using the same method, we can obtain the equivalent results concerning the others (p, q) -basic analogue of the special functions of one and several variables, see [4] for more details.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, the (p, q) - basic analogue of multivariable I -function with (p, q) derivative has been derived by using the Gamma and Beta functions. These results are very useful as they are most general in the nature. By choosing some particular values of the various parameters as well as variables in the (p, q) - basic analogue of multivariable I -function, we get a big number of results having remarkably wide variety of useful (p, q) - basic analogue of special functions (or product of such (p, q) -basic analogue functions) which can be expressed in terms of (p, q) - basic analogue of I -function, (p, q) - basic analogue of H -function, (p, q) - basic analogue of Meijer's G -function, (p, q) - basic analogue of E -function and (p, q) - basic analogue of special functions of one and several variables. The (p, q) -calculus is frequently used in quantum physics, quantum calculus [7, 24] and several domains about the mathematics.

Acknowledgement

The author (DK) would like to thank Agriculture University Jodhpur for supporting and encouraging this work. The authors (Nidhi Sahni and Milan Srivastava) are very much grateful to the reviewer's and to the editor for the illuminating

suggestions that have significantly improved our work in terms of research quality and presentation and to Sharda University Greater Noida for supporting and encouraging this work.

References

- [1] Agarwal R. P., An extension of Meijer's G -function, Proc. Nat. Inst. Sci. India Part A, 31 (1965), 536-546.
- [2] Ahmad B. A., A study of basic hypergeometric function and their generalizations with special reference to fractional and quantum Calculus, Thesis, Jiwaji University, 2018.
- [3] Ahmad F., Jain D. K. and Jain R., Double integral representation and certain transformations for basic Appell Functions, Int. J. Interdiscip. Res. Innov., 2(3) (2014), 9-13.
- [4] Andrews G. E., Askey R. and Roy R., Special Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [5] Arik M., Demircan M. E., Turgut T., Ekinici L. and Mungan M., Fibonacci oscillators, Z. Phys. C: Part. Fields, 55 (1992), 89-95.
- [6] Ayant F., Unification of Ramanujan integrals with some infinite integrals and multivariable Gimel-function, Int. J. Math. Trends Tech., 60(3) (2018), 133-141.
- [7] Chakrabarti R., and Jagannathan R., A (p, q) -oscillator realization of two-parameter quantum algebras, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 24 (1991), L711-L718.
- [8] Choi J., Daiya J., Kumar D. and Saxena R. K., Fractional differentiation of the product of Appell function F_3 and multivariable H -function, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 31(1) (2016), 115-129.
- [9] Daiya J., Ram J. and Kumar D., The multivariable H -function and the general class of Srivastava polynomials involving the generalized Mellin-Barnes contour integrals, Filomat, 30(6) (2016), 1457-1464.
- [10] Fox C., The G and H -function as symmetrical Fourier Kernels, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., 98 (1961), 395-429.
- [11] Gautam B. P., Asgar A. S. and Goyal A. N., On the multivariable A -function, Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika, 29(4) (1986), 67-81.

- [12] Kumar D. and Ayant F. Y., Some double integrals involving multivariable I -function, *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform.*, 58(2) (2019), 35-43.
- [13] Kumar D. and Ayant F. Y., Fractional calculus pertaining to multivariable I -function defined by Prathima, *J. Appl. Math. Stat. Inform.*, 15(2) (2019), 61-73.
- [14] Kumar D., Ayant F. Y. and Choi J., Application of product of the multivariable A -function and the multivariable Srivastava polynomials, *East Asian Math. J.*, 34(3) (2018), 295-303.
- [15] Kumar D., Ayant F. Y., Nisar K. S. and Suthar D. L., On fractional q -integral operators involving the basic analogue of multivariable Aleph-function, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., India, Sect. A Phys. Sci.*, 92(3), (2022), 8 pages.
- [16] Kumar D., Ayant F. Y., Südland N. and Choi J., Certain q -analogue of fractional integrals and derivatives involving basic analogue of the several variable Aleph-function, *Axioms*, 12(1) 51, (2023), 1-16.
- [17] Kumar D., Ayant F. Y. and Tariboon J., On transformation involving basic analogue of multivariable H -function, *J. Funct. Spaces*, 2020 (2020), 1-7, Article ID 2616043.
- [18] Kumar D., Ayant F. Y., Uçar F. and Purohit S. D., Certain fractional q -integral formulas for the basic I -function of two variables, *Math. Eng. Sci. Aerosp.*, 13(2) (2022), 315-321.
- [19] Kumar D., Baleanu D., Ayant F. Y. and Südland N., On transformation involving basic analogue to the Aleph-function of two variables, *Fractal Fract.*, 6(2) 71, (2022), 1-8.
- [20] Kumar D., Purohit S. D. and Choi J., Generalized fractional integrals involving product of multivariable H -function and a general class of polynomials, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 9(1) (2016), 8-21.
- [21] Kumari S., Nambisan T. M. V. and Rathie A. K., A study of I -functions of two variables, *Le matematiche*, 59(1) (2014), 285-305.
- [22] MacRobert T. M., Some Formulæ for the E -Function, *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, 31 (1941), 254-260.

- [23] Meijer C. S., On the G -functions, I–VII, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc. Ser. A, 49 (1946), 344–356, 457–469, 632–641, 765–772, 936–943, 1063–1072, 1165–1175
- [24] Odziejewicz A., Quantum algebras and q -special functions related to coherent states maps of the disc, Commun. Math. Phys., 192 (1998), 183-215.
- [25] Prasad Y. N., Multivariable I -function, Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika, 29 (1986), 231-237.
- [26] Prathima J., Nambisan V. and Kurumujji S. K., A study of I -function of several complex variables, Int. J. Eng. Math., 2014 (2014), 1-12.
- [27] Ram J. and Kumar D., Generalized fractional integration involving Appell hypergeometric of the product of two H -functions, Vijnana Parishad Anusandhan Patrika, 54(3) (2011), 33-43.
- [28] Rathie A. K., A new generalization of generalized hypergeometric functions, Le matematiche, 52(2) (1997), 297-310.
- [29] Sadjang P. N., On the (p, q) -gamma and the (p, q) -beta functions, arXiv 2015, arXiv:1506.07394.
- [30] Sadjang P. N., On the fundamental theorem of (p, q) -calculus and some (p, q) -Taylor formulas, Results Math., 73, 39 (2018).
- [31] Sahni N., Kumar D., Ayant F. Y. and Singh S., A transformation involving basic multivariable I -function of Prathima, J. Ramanujan Soc. Math. Math. Sci., 8 (2) (2021), 95-108.
- [32] Saxena V. P., Formal solution of certain new pair of dual integral equations involving H -functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A, 51 (1982), 366-375.
- [33] Srivastava H. M. and Panda R., Some expansion theorems and generating relations for the H -function of several complex variables, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul., 24 (1975), 119-137.
- [34] Srivastava H. M. and Panda R., Some expansion theorems and generating relations for the H -function of several complex variables II, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul., 25 (1976), 167-197.